



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/613,383

07/03/2003

Hartono Liman

PA2229US

7217

22830

7590

02/23/2009

CARR & FERRELL LLP  
2200 GENG ROAD  
PALO ALTO, CA 94303

EXAMINER

FLYNN, KEVIN H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/23/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/613,383	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIMAN, HARTONO	
	<b>Examiner</b> KEVIN FLYNN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN FLYNN. (3) Susan Yee, Applicant's Representative.

(2) John Hayes, SPE. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kerr et al., US 5,404,291.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued Kerr et al. did not teach the first limitation in claim 1 regarding inventory within categories. Examiner maintained that the limitation, as written, was broad enough to be taught by Kerr. Additional discussion occurred regarding possible ways to amend the limitation around Kerr.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/John W Hayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628
--	--